Opinion

My big fat Greek conspiracy theory

Charles Wyplosz fears a bail-out of Athens

FEBRUARY 5, 2010 by: Charles Wyplosz

The Greek debt crisis (http://www.ft.com/indepth/greece-debt-crisis) prompts an interesting question: why Greece, and why now? True, successive Greek governments have studiously ignored the principle of fiscal discipline, and even doctored data to conceal their mischief. Suspicion, therefore, is fully warranted, but Greek fiscal laxity is not new. Furthermore, even accounting for accounting slippages, the Greek budget deficit for 2009-2010 is likely to be lower, possibly much lower, than those in the UK and US, according to Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development projections (http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/5/61/4 3284926.pdf). Nor is Greek public debt unusual, by current standards. It is about half that of Japan and lower than Italy's. So why Greece and why now? Here is my conspiracy theory.

Greek debt offers a nice return (http://next.ft.com/content/b451e770-09a8-11df-b91f-00144fe abdco), exceeding by some 3 percentage points the yield offered by German debt. This difference is aptly called a risk premium, reflecting the market's assessment of the probability that the Greek authorities will default and of the size of the default. The Greek government has no intention whatsoever of defaulting and no reason to do so, since its situation is no worse than in many other countries. Yet it may be forced to do so if markets refuse to provide the financing. The first step in my theory is the non-controversial conclusion that the only reason why the Greek government might default is market pressure (http://next.ft.com/content/828a 46b2-1289-11df-a611-00144feab49a).

What is troubling is the insistence among market participants that eurozone governments will rescue their Greek *confrère*. It echoes what bankers said after the interbank market seized up in August 2007: the crisis will only end when banks are bailed out. They were right, no matter how immoral the outcome eventually was. We now hear bond markets saying they enjoy cashing in the risk premium but that governments should make sure that the risk never occurs. Taxpayers, once again, are invited to transform a highly lucrative risky business into a safe one.

Why should governments fall into that trap? One popular story is that, while Greece is relatively small – so a default there would not create much of a problem – the real risk is contagion. Markets never miss a chance to warn that Greece would only be the first shoe to drop; Portugal, Spain (http://www.ft.com/cms/s/o/953bfda8-117d-11df-9195-00144feab49a,d

wp_uuid=2b8f1fea-e570-11de-81b4-00144feab49a.html) and Italy would soon follow. This is why, understandably, these governments are ready to bail out Greece. But why should Germany and France join in? Here comes the second step of my conspiracy theory.

Rumour has it that some large German and French banks have a significant exposure to Greek debt. Rumour also has it that the same banks have yet to recognise much of their losses on US subprime mortgages. Now things start hanging together. France and Germany can allow Greece to default, but not some big, systemically important European banks.

However, the moral hazard of bailing out Greece is no smaller than that of bailing out Goldman Sachs, not only because it makes a mockery of fiscal responsibility, but because it amounts to bailing out big European banks that have benefited for far too long from government forbearance. The stakes, again, are huge.

The US Congress recently grilled (http://www.ft.com/indepth/financial-crisis-inquiry-commis sion) former Treasury Secretary Henry Paulson and his successor Tim Geithner, as it tries to determine whether they were too generous to banks with taxpayers' money when AIG collapsed. Their defence is that they had precious little time to think things through as they faced the abyss. Fair enough. Their European counterparts have had plenty of time to ponder the very same issue. If they bail Greece out, one day they will have to be grilled too, and they will have no good answer.

The writer is professor of economics at the Graduate Institute, Geneva

Print a single copy of this article for personal use. Contact us if you wish to print more to distribute to others. © The Financial Times Ltd.

Read latest

David Allen Green

Why will Theresa May not admit the UK will leave the single market?

NEW 31 MINUTES AGO

Latest in Opinion

David Allen Green

Why will Theresa May not admit the UK will leave the single market?

NEW 31 MINUTES AGO

Free Lunch Martin Sandbu

The Brexit gotcha moment? Not so fast Premium

Arguments for expecting UK slowdown remain sound AN HOUR AGO

Gideon Rachman

Donald Trump will win his battle with the spies

The idea that the spooks are more powerful than the president is mistaken $^{\rm 2\,HOURS\,AGO}$

Special Report Doing Business in Greece

Tsipras walks tightrope of reform

The leader of the leftwing Syriza party is paying the price for his embrace of capitalism

Special Report Poland

Poland backs off media curbs but fails to end stand-off

Demonstrations inside and outside parliament continue

Follow the topics mentioned in this article

OECD

American International Group

Goldman Sachs Group

North America

America

Take a tour of myF